

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 12959 111856Z

64

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06

ACDA-05 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 OIC-02 IO-10 CU-02 SAM-01 /080 W

----- 029896

R 111848Z AUG 75

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2064

INFO USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

USNMR SHAPE

CINC EUR VAIHINGEN

USLO SACLANT

C O N F I D E N T I A L BONN 12959

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, PARM, NATO, CSCE, XG, GW

SUBJECT: IMPLEMENTATION OF CSCE CONFIDENCE-
BUILDING MEASURES

REFS: (A) STATE 188451

(B) USNATO 4064

1. IN ACCORDANCE WITH REFTEL WE CONVEYED OUR
DISAPPOINTMENT TO THE APPROPRIATE FONOFF OFFICIAL
THAT THE GERMANS WOULD NOT BE INVITING OBSERVERS TO
THEIR GROSSE ROCHADE EXERCISE. WE ALSO MADE THE OTHER
POINTS CONTAINED IN REFTEL. THE OFFICIAL INDICATED
IN REPLY THAT FMOD HAD JUST REAFFIRMED ITS POSITION
NOT TO INVITE OBSERVERS FOR THE SEPTEMBER EXERCISE.
HE ALSO POINTED OUT THAT THE INVITING OF OBSERVERS IS
CONSIDERED A VOLUNTARY ACT UNDER CSCE.

2. THE FONOFF OFFICIAL WAS NOT IN A POSITION TO
PROVIDE FRG VIEWS NOW REGARDING WHICH OTHER WARSAW
PACT MEMBERS SHOULD BE INVITED FOR REFORGER. HE SAID
HE WISHED TO REVIEW THIS QUESTION WITH BOTH THE FONOFF
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 12959 111856Z

LEGAL DEPARTMENT AND FMOD. HE WAS HOPEFUL TO BE ABLE

TO PROVIDE US WITH INFORMATION LATER IN THE WEEK,
ALTHOUGH HE CAUTIONED THAT BECAUSE OF THE VACATION
PERIOD IT IS DIFFICULT TO OBTAIN AUTHORITATIVE
DECISIONS.

3. IN CLARIFYING FURTHER THE FRG VIEW ABOUT WHO
SHOULD BE INVITED TO MANUEVERS, WE WERE TOLD THAT
THE FRG HAS NOT DECIDED HOW MANY STATES OR INDIVIDUAL
OBSERVERS FROM EACH STATE SHOULD BE INVITED. THE
GERMANS BELIEVE IT WOULD BE AWKWARD MERELY TO INVITE
A SENIOR MILITARY OFFICER WITHOUT ANY STAFF SUPPORT.
THE GERMANS ALSO AGREE WITH THE US VIEW THAT NOT ALL
CSCE PARTICIPANTS SHOULD BE INVITED. RATHER, THEIR
THINKING NOW IS TENDING TOWARD INVITING AT LEAST ONE
WP COUNTRY AND ONE NON-ALIGNED COUNTRY.

4. THE EMBASSY RECEIVED TODAY A NOTE VERBALE DATED
AUGUST 6, 1975, WHICH ESSENTIALLY REPEATS THE FRG
DRAFT TEXT REPORTED REFTEL B REGARDING THE GROSSE
ROCHADE EXERCISE. THE NOTE VERBALE HAS BEEN SENT AS
A COURTESY TO THE FRENCH AND CANADIAN EMBASSIES
AS WELL.
HILLENBRAND

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: MILITARY PLANS, MILITARY POLICIES, MILITARY EXERCISES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 11 AUG 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: izenbei0
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975BONN12959
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750277-0140
From: BONN
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750862/aaaacceve.tel
Line Count: 84
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 STATE 188451
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: izenbei0
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 23 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <23 APR 2003 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <24 SEP 2003 by izenbei0>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: IMPLEMENTATION OF CSCE CONFIDENCE- BUILDING MEASURES
TAGS: PFOR, PARM, XG, GE, NATO, CSCE
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006